NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

The Board of Trustees of the University of Oregon will hold the following public meeting:

   Wednesday, June 24, 2020, at 1:00 p.m. PT

Due to current orders regarding campus operations and social distancing, the meeting will be held via video conference with a telephone conference option available for members of the media and the public.

The subject of the meeting will be the name of Deady Hall.

Members of the public can observe the proceedings via livestream or telephone:
   • Livestream link: Available day of at https://trustees.uoregon.edu/meetings.
   • Teleconference: (888) 337-0215, Code - 9504541

Public Comment
Individuals wishing to provide public comment to the Board of Trustees may do so in writing via trustees@uoregon.edu. All comments will be shared with members of the board, but to ensure comments are provided to trustees in advance of the meeting, they must be received by 8:00 a.m. Pacific Time on June 24, 2020.
Due to current orders regarding campus operations and social distancing, the meeting will be held remotely with a telephone conference option available for members of the media and the public. Materials and teleconference information are available at https://trustees.uoregon.edu/meetings.

Convene Public Meeting
- Call to order and verification of a quorum

1. **Deady Hall Renaming (Action):** Michael Schill, President and Professor of Law

Meeting Adjourned
Agenda Item #1

Renaming Deady Hall
President Schill respectfully submits a recommendation to the Board of Trustees to rename Deady Hall, a recommendation originally requested by many of the UO’s Black students and alumni.

According to University Archives: Deady Hall opened in 1876 as the UO’s first building. It was known as the “Old Building” until 1893 when it was informally named after Matthew P. Deady, who served as president of the UO’s Board of Regents from 1873-1893. The name was officially recognized in 1926.¹

In 2016, President Schill received a report² by three distinguished historians whom he asked to examine Matthew Deady and Frederick Dunn in the context of criteria for de-naming a building³. During the 2016-2017 academic year, a recommendation was made to rename Dunn Hall⁴, but not Deady Hall⁵.

Earlier this month, calls to rename Deady Hall were reenergized by national conversations about race and racial injustice, and Trustee Andrew Colas encouraged his colleagues to reconsider the matter. President Schill then issued a memo to the Board⁶ and a subsequent note to the campus community⁷ that reflected on his recommendations from a few years ago, particularly in the context of what has—and has not—changed since then. His memo formally recommended to the Board that Deady Hall now be renamed.

The resolution temporarily renames Deady Hall as University Hall and directs the president to bring forward a recommendation for a permanent name before the end of the next academic year. The resolution further directs the president, or his designee(s), to establish an educational installation that gives future generations the opportunity to learn about and from Mr. Deady’s complicated legacy of service to the UO and Oregon.

Authority
The Board has sole authority over the naming of buildings and external spaces in recognition of individuals. The Delegation of Authority does not contemplate the alternative—the de-naming of a building currently named in recognition of an individual. However, such a decision is of at least equal, if not greater, consequence. Thus, as was the case in 2016, it is appropriate for the Board to make the ultimate decision on whether to remove an individual’s name from a building.

¹ https://library.uoregon.edu/architecture/oregon/deady
² http://president.uoregon.edu/sites/president2.uoregon.edu/files/deady_dunn_final_report_08-05-16.pdf
³ https://president.uoregon.edu/content/deady-and-dunn-halls-next-steps#principles
⁴ https://president.uoregon.edu/deady-and-dunn-halls-next-steps
⁵ https://around.uoregon.edu/content/president-announces-deady-hall-decision-new-cultural-center
⁶ Memo provided in this meeting packet following the resolution
⁷ https://president.uoregon.edu/deady-hall-renaming

Summary: Deady Hall Renaming
Resolution: Renaming Deady Hall

Whereas, Deady Hall, the University of Oregon’s first building, was informally named after Mr. Matthew P. Deady (“Deady”) in 1893 and the name was formally recognized in 1926;

Whereas, Deady played a prominent role in the State of Oregon’s early history, including service as president of Oregon’s constitutional convention, a federal judge, and first chair of the UO’s governing board;

Whereas, Deady espoused pro-slavery positions and promoted racist positions such as the exclusion of individuals in Oregon based on the color of their skin or ethnicity;

Whereas, students, alumni, and community members have called upon the University to rename this historic building, citing Deady’s support of abhorrent principles and policies;

Whereas, it is important to ensure that history is not disregarded or ignored, but that people have an opportunity to learn about and from Oregon’s complex history with systemic racism, including from the lessons provided by studying Deady’s entire body of public service; and,

Whereas, it is also important that the University send a clear message to the entire community that racism has no place in its academic community.

NOW THEREFORE, the Board of Trustees (“Board”) of the University of Oregon hereby orders that Deady Hall be renamed, effective immediately. Further, the Board assigns the temporary name of “University Hall” to the building and directs the President to bring forward a recommendation for a permanent name for the building before the end of the 2020-2021 academic year. The Board further directs the President or his designee(s) to establish an educational installation regarding Deady on campus. The Board authorizes the President or his designee(s) to take all actions necessary and proper to execute this decision.

Moved: ___________________________  Seconded: ___________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trustee</th>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Trustee</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aaron</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kari</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballmer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lillis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bragdon</td>
<td></td>
<td>McIntyre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colas</td>
<td></td>
<td>Murray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ralph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonyea</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wilcox</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornecker</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wishnia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Record here if voice vote: ___________________________

Dated: ___________________________  Recorded: ___________________________

Resolution: Renaming Deady Hall
June 24, 2020
To: University of Oregon Board of Trustees  
From: Michael H. Schill, President  
Re: The Denaming of Deady Hall  
Date: June 10, 2020

I look forward to the Board of Trustees holding a meeting before the end of June to take up the recommendation that we rename Deady Hall. I offer these thoughts for your consideration and am happy to discuss the matter further with any of you as that meeting approaches.

The recent, tragic death of George Floyd at the hands of white policemen, coming soon after a spate of other senseless murders of black men and women, has refocused our attention on the racism that affects our black community. Racial disparities affect virtually all aspects of American life, from infant mortality rates, access to healthcare, residential and educational opportunities, incarceration rates, employment, and life expectancy. The recent COVID-19 pandemic makes clear to all of us once again the disproportionate burden facing our communities of color as reflected in rates of illness and death. The protests in cities throughout the nation, including in Eugene, show the pain many are feeling and the desire for us to be a nation that must do more, that must do better and that must adopt new approaches to equity.

Almost five years ago, following the death of Michael Brown in Ferguson, Missouri, the Black Student Task Force presented a series of 13 demands to me, which included the denaming of Deady and Dunn Halls. Following the preparation and dissemination of a detailed report by three eminent historians on Matthew Deady and Frederick Dunn and a remarkable period of consultation with the entire community that elicited over 1,000 written comments, I recommended to the Board of Trustees that it take the name off Dunn Hall. I did not recommend denaming Deady Hall. Subsequently, the board voted unanimously to dename Dunn Hall and later, after a consultative process, to rename the building in honor of DeNorval Unthank, Jr., a distinguished black alumnus and prominent architect.

Three and one-half years later, the board will now revisit the question of the naming of Deady Hall. For the reasons set forth in this memorandum, I recommend that the name be removed.

The Principles of Denaming

In a communication to the community dated September 1, 2016 with respect to Dunn and Deady Halls, I stated a set of principles that would guide my decision about whether to recommend to the Board of Trustees that a building be denamed. Those principles were as follows:

- Bigotry and racism have no place in our society or our university. Each of us must value each other based on individual merit and not the color of our skin, the social status of our parents, our gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, religion, or physical or mental ability.
It is vital that all students at the University of Oregon feel valued and included as part of this institution. This is true for every member of our community, but particular attention needs to be paid to members of groups who often feel isolated and alienated as a result of their chronic underrepresentation on campus and the legacy of racism in this state and nation.

We must be careful not to obscure our history regardless of whether we like what we find when we study it. The only way we can understand our present and prevent injustice from repeating itself is to study our history and learn from our past.

The process of naming or denaming a building has symbolic value. But symbols are less important than actions that affect the material circumstances of members of our community.

Naming a building and denaming a building are not identical actions and should be governed by separate decision-making processes and considerations.

Naming a building honors an individual either for exceptional contributions to the university and our society or for exceptional generosity. While extremely meaningful, naming a building occurs regularly and is usually done contemporaneously with, or shortly after, the life of the person for whom a building is named. The very purpose of naming is to establish a durable honor that stands the test of time.

Denaming a building, on the other hand, is an extraordinary event and should only occur in very limited circumstances. Many decades may have passed since the person whose name is on a building was alive, and information will typically be less complete than in a naming decision. Contemporary decision-makers will often be limited in their ability to evaluate the behavior of people who lived in circumstances and with cultural mores very different from our own. Denaming is also an act associated with ignominy and the destruction of reputation. We should normally be careful when we do this, particularly because the person involved will seldom be available to defend himself or herself.

Finally, denaming threatens to obscure history and hide the ugliness of our past, which is contrary to our institution’s values of promoting lifelong learning and sharing knowledge. Therefore, the presumption should be against denaming a building except in extraordinarily egregious circumstances.

In my January 25, 2017 decision not to recommend the denaming of Deady Hall I reasoned that, although Deady “held racist views which I find abhorrent and contrary to the principles of our university,” historians found he had undergone a “metamorphosis” as evidenced by his support of the Fourteenth and Fifteenth
Amendments after the Civil War and acts to protect the rights of Chinese immigrants. Ultimately, I determined that heinous nature of Deady’s views on race, his other “positive acts” and his noteworthy historical importance to the nation, state, and university were of such distinction that it did not merit overturning the presumption against taking his name off the building. You can read my entire recommendation on here.

What has changed since then to cause me to reverse my original decision? Everything and unfortunately very little. The repeated and senseless murders George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Ahmaud Arbery, and Laquan McDonald – just to name a few – have pushed us over a tipping point. In addition, the accelerating level of racial inequality in our society and the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on communities of color, met with indifference by some of our nation’s leaders, have all raised the cost of silence. As our own Trustee Andrew Colas so eloquently stated, if Deady were alive today, then he would surely understand “the need to step aside and let somebody else’s name be on that building for the sake of our university.”

In my 2016 statement of principles I wrote, “[i]t is vital that all students at the University of Oregon feel valued and included as part of this institution. This is true for every member of our community, but particular attention needs to be paid to members of groups who often feel isolated and alienated as a result of their chronic underrepresentation on campus and the legacy of racism in this state and nation.” It is now apparent to me that, as long as Matthew Deady’s name remains in a place of honor on our campus, our students of color will feel that they are not valued; that this institution is not their institution.

Trustee action to remove Matthew Deady’s name from our oldest and arguably most prominent building will send a clear message to our black students as well as our entire community that racism has no place in our academic community and that their welfare, inclusion and success is central to our mission.

I am mindful of another principle that could be weakened by a vote of the board to remove Deady’s name from Deady Hall—that we should learn from history and not cover it up. We all need to be reminded that racism is insidious because it is embedded not just within the villains of history but in many of us, even those of us who go on to do great things like found a university or become federal court judges. We need to make sure that future generations know who Matthew Deady was—a man who did great good for our state and our university, but a man who also supported causes and ideologies that were abhorrent. To achieve that goal, I will work with our faculty to create an appropriate learning experience on campus to describe Judge Deady and his legacy.

I am under no illusions that my decision to recommend denaming Deady Hall will be greeted with unanimous acclaim. People of good will may weigh the criteria differently from me and/or feel that my recommendation shows insufficient regard for the preservation of history as a vehicle for future generations to avoid the mistakes of the past. In my view, we should respect those views even if we disagree with them.

The denaming of Deady Hall is, I believe, necessary, but not sufficient. Our community needs to redouble our efforts to combat racism and promote equity. As an educational institution we must work hard to
understand the root causes of racism and lead in identifying solutions. But we must not forget that we have not accomplished all that we said we would do in response to the demands of the Black Student Task Force. We have opened a wonderful building to serve as our Black Cultural Center and hired its first director. But we must make sure our black students benefit from this investment. We began a black studies program, but that program continues to require further nurturing. We have hired new faculty of color but our retention efforts have been spotty. We have increased our enrollment of black students, but the numbers are still too low. Transformation sometimes takes years to successfully accomplish. But we will not be deterred; the time is ripe for change.